

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/060,145	02/01/2002	Tun Li Su	EFP-1346	9747
75	90 01/23/2003			
Chang Chia Wei			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 25-7 Kaohsiung City 811,			PAUMEN, GARY F	
TAIWAN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

Office Action Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/060,145

Sι

Examiner

Gary Paumen

Art Unit 2833

.)	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
	or Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
mailing	- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
- If NO p - Failure - Any rep	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this	d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l a			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 3, 200.	3			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	on is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1 and 2	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1	is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) 2				
		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	_	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin-	er.			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have				
		been received in Application No			
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p				
	The translation of the foreign language provisional				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) 💢 No	stice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) [] Inf	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P				

Application/Control Number: 10/060,145

Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by either Blanche or Waible.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, lines 3-4 and 4-5, and claim 2, line 2, "of said at least two blades" should be -- blade --. Claim 1, line 7, "said neck of each of said at least two blades" should be -- each said neck --; penultimate line, "at least two" should be deleted. Claim 2, line 1, "the said" should be -- said --; line 7, "communicated" should be -- communicating --. Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The other references cited on Form 892 disclose similar plugs having inner frames.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Paumen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1414.

gfp

January 19, 2003

- Caryllocaton Picco y La cainer

Tany V. Van